UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,193	01/30/2006	Melwyn Abreo	17243/003001	5685
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 04/20/200 L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
TWO HOUSTO	ON CENTER	JARRELL, NOBLE E		
909 FANNIN, S HOUSTON, TX		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,193	ABREO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	NOBLE JARRELL	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -	-
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonm t, or other evidence, which p with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a	places the Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE r).	g date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED W	ITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extending the fee. The appropriate extended in the final Office action.	ension fee on; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appe	
		91 (b (b	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	ΓE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the iss	ues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL	-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendment can	celing the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		l be entered and an explana	ation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,37-40,43,44,49 and 50</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails to pr ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance bed	cause:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Park (Journal of Nutrition, 1997, 127, 566-73) teach that weak negative association between SCD1 and HDL and Lp1 abundance levels (page 572, third paragraph). They go on to state that although significant correlations show associations, it is not clear whether these interactions were directly linked or whether they correlated because of independent linkages with other common factors (page 11486). Ntambi et al. (PNAS, 2002, 99(17), 11482-11486) teach that SCD as a promising target for the many disorders associaited with weiight gain. Attie et al. (Journal of Lipid Research, 2002, 43, 1899-1907) teach that SCD might be an attractive target for triglyderide-lowering drugs (page 1906). Miyazaki et al. (Journal of Lipid Research, 2001, 42, 1018-1024) teach that the regulation of SCD may have broad implications for its potential use as a target in the treatment of hypertriglyceridemia (page 1024). Miyazaki et al. (Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2000, 275 (39), 30132-30138) teach SCD1 may be another checkpoint in the process of cholesterol homeostasis and liporprotein metabolism and may have broad implications for its potentialuise as a target in human disease (page 30138). Zheng et al. (Nature Genetics, 1999, 23, 268-270) teach SCD1 is disrupted in mice with an ab mutation. Mivazaki et al. (Journal of Nutrition, 2001, 131. pages 2260-2268) teach that the SCD1 gene may be a major checkpoint in the processed of cholesterol homeostasis, lipoprotein and neutral lipid metabolism (page 2268). Miyazaki et al. further state that the SCD1 gene as a target has potential use in the treatment of human eye and skin disease (same paragraph). Brownlie et al. (WO 2001/62954, published 30 August 2001) teach that triglyceride levels are lower in mice without the SCD gene (page 82, lines 19-29). Each of these references states that future research is needed for the therapeutic potential of SCD inhibition in humans or that unpredictability exists within the art. Several of the references teach that SCD may be a potential target in humans.